LECTURE 3. How well do we understand excited 0⁺ states in nuclei?

John L. Wood School of Physics Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta

John L. Wood, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 403 012011 2012 J.L. Wood, Nucl. Phys. A 421 43c 1984 [Suzhou Conf. 1983]

First excited O⁺ states in nuclei: number of theories slightly less than the number of states that are experimentally well categorized

"We are to admit no more causes of natural things than such as are both true and sufficient to explain their appearances. Therefore, to the same natural effects we must, so far as possible, assign the same causes." -- Isaac Newton

- Pair excitations across closed shells
- Shape coexistence (intruder states)
- Symplectic shell model
- Elliott SU(3) model
- Pairing vibrations in spherical nuclei
- Pairing isomers in deformed nuclei
- Dynamic deformation theory
- Beta vibrations in deformed nuclei
- Two-phonon quadrupole vibrations in spherical nuclei
- Wilets-Jean model seniority-three states
- Critical-point symmetry models
- Boson expansion theory models
- Interacting boson models: coupling of d bosons to J = 0

Excited O⁺ states at closed shells: neutron "pairing vibration" in ²⁰⁸Pb



Excited O⁺ states at closed shells: shape coexistence in ¹⁶O

	$K^{\pi} = 0^{+}$	$K^{\pi} = 0^{-}$	$K^{\pi} = 2^{+}$	
		7 ⁻ 20857		
<u>-</u>	6 ⁺ 16275			
		5 ⁻ 14660		
	1+ 10356	<u>3⁻ 11600</u>	<u>3+ 11080</u>	
0 ⁻ 10957 - 2 ⁻ 8872	67	<u>1⁻ 9585</u>	2' 9845	
$\frac{1^{-} 7117}{3^{-} 6130} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$	2^{+} $\sqrt{6917}$ 0^{+} $\sqrt{6049}$			
3.2	28		¹⁶ O	
<u>0+ 0</u>				

Figure from Rowe & Wood

Energies of states are given in keV.

B(E2) values are given in W.u.

States on the far left are spherical.

The beginnings of three deformed bands, with K = 0, 0, 2, are shown.

¹⁶O: population of deformed states by alpha stripping reactions

 0^+

0



(⁷Li,t) spectrum from M.E. Cobern et al., PR C14, 491 (1976)



Excited O⁺ states at closed shells: shape coexistence in the double-closed shell nuclei ⁴⁰Ca and ⁵⁶Ni



⁴⁰Ca: population of highly deformed bands by (¹²C,α)



Excited O⁺ states at closed shells: population in ⁴⁰Ca by multi-nucleon transfer reactions



0⁺ spherical states at closed shells: v = 0,2 (seniority) states @ N = 50



O⁺ states in ⁶⁸Ni: seniority structure and ...?



The relatively high E(2₁⁺) value in ⁶⁸Ni is probably not indicative of a closed subshell

0⁺ states @ 1770, 2512 keV: spherical, deformed?

Figure from Heyde & Wood

0^+ states in ⁶⁸Ni: 0_2^+ v=0 state? $0_3^+ \pi$ 2p-2h deformed state?



0^+ states in ⁶⁸Ni: 0_2^+ v=0 state? 0_3^+ π 2p-2h deformed state?



Figure taken from F. Recchia et al., Phys. Rev. C88, 041302 (2013)

OPEN QUESTIONS: What are the intensities of—

the 232 keV transition between the 2743 and 2511 keV levels? the 429 keV transition between the 2033 and 1604 keV leveks? What are the lifetimes of—

the 2743 keV state? the 2033 keV state?

> See also: D. Pauwels et al., Phys. Rev. C82, 027304 (2010)

Excited O⁺ states at closed shells: intruder states in the Pb and Sn isotopes



Excited O⁺ states adjacent to closed shells: intruder states in the Hg and Cd isotopes



Intruder states or the "island of inversion" @ N=20



The O⁺ intruder state in ¹¹⁶Sn revealed by (³He,n) transfer reaction spectroscopy



Excited O⁺ states in the tin isotopes with strong population in two-proton stripping reactions

Data taken from H.W.Fielding et al., NP A281, 389 (1977)



Deformed bands in ¹¹²⁻¹²⁰Sn built on the first excited 0⁺ states

Figure from Rowe & Wood

B(E2)'s in W.u. [100 = rel. value]



E0 transitions associated with shape coexistence in ¹¹⁴⁻¹²⁰Sn



E2 transitions associated with shape coexistence in ¹¹⁴⁻¹²⁰Sn



The O⁺ intruder states in ^{108,110}Cd revealed by (³He,n) reaction spectroscopy

Spectra taken from H.W. Fielding et al., NP A281, 389 (1977)



Deformed bands in ¹¹⁰⁻¹¹⁶Cd

Figure from Rowe & Wood

B(E2)'s in W.u. [100 = rel. value]



E0 transition strengths in ¹¹⁴Cd support the existence of good K quantum numbers



Demise of quadrupole vibrations in ¹¹⁰⁻¹¹⁶Cd: low-energy 0⁺ states are shell and subshell excitations

P.E. Garrett and J.L. Wood, J.Phys. G37, 064028 (2010)

E(MeV)



Population of O⁺ states in ^{108,110}Cd by one-proton stripping reactions

R.L. Auble et al., Phys. Rev. C6 2223 (1972)



Shape coexistence in singly closed-shell nuclei: the even-mass Pb isotopes

Figure from Heyde & Wood

Heavy arrows indicate E0+M1+E2 transitions



Pair excitations across closed shells



Systematic of $E(2_1^+)$ for $N \ge 50, Z \le 50$: ⁹⁶Zr is a double-closed subshell



Shape coexistence at and near closed subshells: the nuclei ⁹⁶Sr and ⁹⁸Zr

Figure from K. Heyde and J.L. Wood, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 1467 (2011)



⁹⁶Zr: a nucleus that deserves detailed study using multiple spectroscopic techniques



FIG. 29. Two-nucleon and multinucleon transfer strengths to 0_2^+ states in the Zr isotopes, given relative to 100% for 0_1^+ states. The strengths marked a) are from (⁶Li, ⁸B) reactions, and b) are from (¹⁴C, ¹⁶O) reactions. The data are from references given in Nuclear Data Sheets.

Figure from K. Heyde and J.L. Wood, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 1467 (2011)



⁹⁴Zr from two structural perspectives: vibrator OR coexisting seniority and deformed structures



⁹⁴Zr from two structural perspectives: vibrator OR coexisting seniority and deformed structures



0_2^+ states and deformation in Zr isotopes, $50 \le N \le 62$: electric monopole transition strengths



A deformed structure can intrude to become a ground state:

appears to produce a "collective phase change"

Nuclei are manifestations of coexisting structures that may invert by addition of a few nucleons, and may mix.



Collective states in ¹⁰⁴Ru and ¹⁰⁸Pd from multi-Coulex



Systematics of low-lying collective states in N=60 isotones



Shape coexistence in the N = 90 isotones: revealed by E0 transition strengths

Strong mixing of coexisting shapes produces strong electric monopole (E0) transitions and identical bands.



Pairing isomers in the N = 90 isotones: ¹⁵²Sm and ¹⁵⁴Gd



W.D. Kulp et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 102501 (2003)

Nilsson intruders in the rare-earth region: possible origin of pairing isomers



Z

Nilsson intruders in the actinide region: possible origin of pairing isomers



Z

Collective excitations in the actinides: a typical set of bands (e.g., ²³⁴U)

Figure from; J.L. Wood, Nucl. Phys. A421 43c 1984 [Suzhou Conf. 1983]



Pairing isomers in the actinide region: strong L = 0 transfer to excited states in (p,t)

PHYSICAL REVIEW C

VOLUME 9, NUMBER 2

FEBRUARY 1974

Further studies of pairing excitations in actinide nuclei:

²³³U, ²³⁷Pu, ²³⁵Np, ²⁴¹Am, ²²⁴Ra, and ²³⁸Pu[†]

A. M. Friedman and K. Katori* Chemistry Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439

D. Albright and J. P. Schiffer Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, and University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637 (Received 30 October 1973)

The behavior of a pairing excitation, previously reported in even actinide nuclei, has been explored with two odd-neutron $(^{235}U \text{ and } ^{239}Pu)$ and two odd-proton $(^{237}Np \text{ and } ^{243}Am)$ targets as well as the even-even targets ^{226}Ra and ^{240}Pu . It is found that this behavior is similar to that previously found in even-even actinide nuclei.

NUCLEAR REACTIONS ²²⁶Ra, ²³⁵U, ²³⁷Np, ²³⁹Pu, ²⁴³Am, ²⁴⁰Pu(p, t) measured $\sigma(\theta)$, deduced excitations and cross sections of pairing excited states.

Pairing isomers in the actinide region: strong L = 0 transfer to excited states in (d,⁶Li)

PHYSICAL REVIEW C

VOLUME 23, NUMBER 1

JANUARY 1981

Selective excitations in actinide nuclei via alpha pickup

J. Jänecke

Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109 and Kernfysisch Versneller Instituut der Rijksuniversiteit, 9747 AA Groningen, The Netherlands

> F. D. Becchetti and D. Overway Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

J. D. Cossairt* Cyclotron Institute, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843

R. L. Spross

Department of Physics, University of Southwestern Louisiana, Lafayette, Louisiana 70504 (Received 16 July 1980)

The α -cluster pickup reaction $(d, {}^{6}\text{Li})$ has been studied at $E_d = 55$ MeV on targets of ${}^{232}\text{Th}$ and ${}^{238}\text{U}$. Members of the ground-state rotational bands in ${}^{228}\text{Ra}$ and ${}^{234}\text{Th}$ are excited and absolute reduced α widths obtained from finite-range distorted-wave analysis are in good agreement with values deduced from α decay. In addition three excited groups of states are very strongly populated in both nuclei with spectroscopic strength per group comparable with those of the respective ground state bands. These groups are apparently excited rotational bands with band heads at $E_x = 700 \pm 40$, 1070 ± 60 , and 1390 ± 60 keV in ${}^{228}\text{Ra}$ and $E_x = 810 \pm 30$, 1150 ± 40 , and 1470 ± 40 keV in ${}^{234}\text{Th}$. The selective and strong excitation in this particular multi-nucleon transfer reaction of several excited bands is not predicted by existing theoretical models. An attempt has been made to describe the systematics of excited 0⁺ states in the actinide region with the interacting boson model. Excitation energies are reasonably well described but intruder states are present and transfer strengths are not reproduced properly. The observation of strong α -cluster pickup to excited rotational bands suggests coherent contributions from both neutron and proton pair excitations which favor quartet structure. It is found that about 25% of the nuclear charge (matter) at $r \simeq 10.6$ fm must be associated with α particles. This high α -clustering probability indicates α -particle condensation in low-density nuclear matter.

NUCLEAR REACTIONS ²³²Th, ²³⁸U(d, ⁶Li), E = 54.8 MeV; measured $\sigma(\theta)$; DWBA analysis; ²²⁸Ra, ²³⁴Th deduced levels, S_{α} , γ_{α}^{2} (10.5 fm), α -clustering probabilities.

Rare-earth region K = 0⁺ excited bands exhibit a wide range of "rotational" energy spacing, the significance of which is essentially unexplored[#]

Isotope	i	ΔE_{20}^i	r	Isotope	i	ΔE_{20}^i	r
¹⁵⁶ Gd 1 2 4	89		¹⁷⁰ Yb	1	84		
	70			2	70		
	56	0.63	¹⁷² Yb	1	79		
	5	64			4	55	0.70
¹⁵⁸ Gd	1	80			5	61	0.77
	2	64		¹⁷⁸ Yb	1	84	
	4	49	0.61		2	72	
¹⁶⁰ Gd	1	75		$^{172}\mathrm{Hf}$	1	91	
	2	51			4	61	0.67
	3	56		¹⁷⁶ Hf	1	88	
¹⁶⁰ Dy	1	87			2	77	
	2	70		¹⁷⁸ Hf	1	93	
	5	59	0.68		2	78	
¹⁶⁴ Er	1	91			3	62	
	2	69			4	70	
	3	67		^{178}W	1	106	
¹⁶⁶ Er	1	81			2	86	
	2	68		¹⁵² Nd	1	73	
¹⁶⁸ Er	1	80			2	112	1.53
	2	59	0.75	^{182}W	1	100	
	4	59	0.75		2	121	1.21
¹⁷⁰ Er	1	79		^{186}W	1	123	
	2	69			2	146	1.19
	3	61	0.75	¹⁸⁴ Os	1	120	
¹⁶⁴ Yb 1	123			2	163	1.36	
	2	98					
¹⁶⁸ Yb	1	88					
4	62						

The $2^+ - 0^+$ energy differences of K = 0bands in the rare-earth region: i = 1,2,3, ... labels successive bands, i = 1 (gs). The ratios of these energies, 'r', with the ground state band are given where they significantly differ from unity.

Table from K. Heyde and J.L. Wood, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 1467 (2011)

 Exploration using gamma-ray and conversion electron spectroscopy following radioactive decay is needed.

Table from Heyde & Wood

Excited O⁺ states in the Te, Xe isotopes with strong population in two-proton stripping reactions

Figure from Heyde & Wood

O⁺ state population in (³He,n) shown as % of the ground-sate population—data taken from W.P. Alford et al., NP A323 339 (1979) and H.W. Fielding et al., NP A304 520 (1978)



Coexistence in ¹²⁴Xe (subshell pairing isomer?)



The Ge isotopes have excited 0⁺ states that are strongly populated in two- and four-nucleon transfer reactions

Figure from Heyde and Wood



Data taken from: D. Ardoin et al., PR C22 2253 (1980) A. Boucenna et al. PR C42 1297 (1990) A.M. Van den Berghe et al. NP A379 239 (1982) and references in Heyde and Wood

0_1^{+} and 0_2^{+} states in ⁷⁰⁻⁷⁶Ge: the importance of mapping pair occupancies



0₁⁺ and 0₂⁺ states in ⁷⁰⁻⁷⁶Ge: the importance of mapping E2 strength

Figure from Heyde & Wood



Excited O⁺ states in ^{74,76}Kr: are they prolate and oblate coexisting structures?



Data from: E. Clement et al., Phys. Rev. C 75 054313 2007

Do we understand excited O⁺ states in nuclei?

- CONCLUSIONS:
- Nuclei do not possess low-energy vibrations associated with the quadrupole degree of freedom
- Pairing (off diagonal) is sufficiently weak that pair excitations across shell and subshell gaps give rise to 0⁺ states at low excitation energy
- Identification and characterization of E0 transitions is essential to understanding excited 0⁺ states and associated collective bands
- Transfer reaction data are critical for understanding excited 0⁺ states
- Ultra-high statistics β decay data is needed to see high-lying, low-energy γ-ray transitions

This program is in collaboration with P.E. Garrett, K. Heyde, W.D. Kulp, S.W. Yates, E.F. Zganjar, and many others